Record of Planning Decisions – 1st July 2022 – 30th September 2022

Planning App Ref	Description	Location	KTC Comment	NNC Decision
NK/2022/0054	External teaching spaces to include horticulture, forestry, livestock areas	Isebrook School, Eastleigh Road, Kettering	Fully support	Approved
NK/2021/0766	Change of use first/second floors from offices to 7 no. apartments	8 Market Place, Kettering (Barclays)	Comments that more car parking should be created from the bank car park at the rear and that various changes were necessary to make the development acceptable in terms of sustainability	Withdrawn
NK/2022/0334	1 new dwelling	28 Judith Rd, Kettering	There is no sustainble design and energy statement Access to the rear garden of no 28 appears to have been blocked off by this application	Refused
NK/2022/0350	15m telecoms mast, equipment cabinets and ancillary works	St Marys Road Street Works, St Marys Road, Kettering	Concern that it was an extremely prominent and highly visible intrusion into the area, would disrupt a green corridor of which this site was a part and stood close to and would in visual terms, overpower, existing residential properties. No clear any consultation with neighbouring properties The base of the mast should be more heavily planted to disguise the ground level	Approved
			impact .	

NK/2022/0221	Change of use from dwelling (C3a) to children's home (C2) for up to five children	80 Neale Ave Kettering	This application was supported. The development could be improved still further by the inclusion of solar panels, more diverse planting to encourage biodiversity in the outside areas, and better insulation.	Approved with conditions about parking layout and bike storage
NK/2022/0414	Removal of glazed doors to be replaced with plasterboard wall and doors. Removal of partition wall.	Kettering Library	Supported	Approved
NK/2022/0303	Single storey rear extension	Kettering Sea Cadet Unit, London Road Kettering	Supported, with following additional comments:- - not clear how development could utilise sustainable drainage solutions within it – the comments on drainage was unclear. - no sustainable design statement - More effort to ensure bio-diversity net gain on the site; for example a seeded, green roof would be appropriate.	Approved with condition on the provision of two bat boxes and two bird boxes
NK/2021/0989	Conversion of 2 flats to create 2 additional flats	119 Rockingham Rd Kettering	Objection a) Over development of the building leading to flats which are too small,	Approved with condition on noise mitigation

			with very constrained access to the second floor b) Inadequate bin storage c) Failure to comply with policies in the core spatial strategy in respect of the traffic and noise impact on the residents from the surrounding area. d) The Council supports EHO comments about noise and the need for better sound proofing and insulation. The Council assumes that the planning authority has now been satisfied about the quality of the parking beat survey and if not, should address this.	
NK/2021/0892	Variation of conditions (materials) for Plots 1 & 2	Poplars Farm Road (land between 30 and 34), Kettering	No objections, but should ensure parking provision was compliant with policy and a landscaping condition to ensure adequate screening with trees and shrubs. Supported comments by the highways authority about the size of the garage and by neighbours about the ridge height of the building. Any consent should be conditioned to achieve high insulation standards, and low carbon energy measures	Approved with conditions relating to highway safety
NK/2022/0445	Mobile phone mast and	Lake Avenue (land off), Kettering	The application site was still too prominent and there was a better location within the immediate area	Approved

	associated equipment.			
NK/2022/0426	1 no. bungalow	4 Pinewood Close (land adj), Kettering	 The Council's comments No electric vehicle charging points No sustainable drainage statement No cycle storage described requires the submisison of a sustainable design and energy statement and does not describe low carbon energy methods which might impact on the overall appearance of the development. Re planting -not clear what is to be retained and therefore what the bio-diversity net gain for the site is. 	Refused
			-	